# Governance: Best Practices of Successful Urban School Districts And Implications for New Orleans

Dr. Barbara Ferguson May 2010

**Research on Reforms** is a nonprofit foundation, dedicated to improving New Orleans public schools through applied research. This research article was made possible, in part, with a grant from the **Community IMPACT Program at the Greater New Orleans Foundation.** (*To comment, please go to our website, researchonreforms.org.*)

# **Executive Summary**

The Council of Great City Schools identified eight (8) urban districts with upward academic trends. With regard to their governance structure, all eight (8) successful urban districts are governed by local boards of education, with either elected or appointed members. None of the successful urban school districts are governed by a state board of education, as the majority of schools in New Orleans are so governed by the Recovery School District (RSD). Returning New Orleans schools to local governance, with mandatory stipulations and conditions that continue and reinforce site-based management through Charter Schools and Community Schools, is the best chance to improve New Orleans' District Performance score, which plummeted from 56.9 in 2005 to 51.4 in 2009 for the RSD. (In 2009, there were two District Performance Scores for New Orleans. The OPBS score

**for the RSD.** (In 2009, there were two District Performance Scores for New Orleans. The OPBS score, with 17 schools, was 104.3 and the RSD score, with 61 schools, was 51.4. See Part III)

Part I: Identifying Successful Urban School Districts

Part II: Governance Structures of Successful Urban School Districts

Part III: New Orleans; District Performance Scores

Part IV: Implications for Governance of the New Orleans Public Schools

# Part I: Identifying Successful Urban School Districts

# A. Upward Academic Trends

The Council of Great City Schools is a national organization, founded in 1956, that collects and analyzes data in order to inform policy makers and the public of the successes and challenges faced by urban schools.<sup>i</sup> The New Orleans public school system is one of its sixty-six (66) member districts. (Appendix A)

In its report, Good News About Urban Public Schools<sup>ii</sup>, the Council of Great City Schools cited eight (8) urban school districts with upward academic trends. The following are excerpts from that report.

- 1. <u>Anchorage</u> School District had marked improvements in language arts and math, and met 98% of the targets defined by NCLB.
- 2. <u>Atlanta Public Schools made Annual Yearly Progress (AYP) increase of 8% over the previous year.</u>
- 3. <u>Birmingham</u> City School system made 100% of its adequate yearly progress goals for the second consecutive year.
- 4. <u>Cincinnati</u> Public Schools earned the Continuous Improvement rating on the Ohio Report card, reflecting steady overall gains in student academic achievement.
- 5. <u>Jackson</u> Public School district has ranked higher on state accountability ratings.
- 6. <u>Philadelphia</u> school district set a record fifth consecutive year of growth in math and reading scores.
- 7. Pittsburg Public Schools show a second year of test-score gains.
- 8. <u>San Francisco</u> Unified School District improved on the California Standards Test for the sixth consecutive year.

# B. Scoring "At or Above Proficiency"

In its report, *Beating the Odds*, iii the Council of Great City Schools examines student achievement in mathematics and reading from 2006-2009. The eight (8) schools, cited above, demonstrated progress in the number of students who scored at or above proficiency. The report can be accessed at *www.CounciloftheGreatCitySchools.org*. The spring 2009 data from that report is excerpted below.

Table 1: Percent of Students Scoring "At or Above Proficiency Level" in Cited Urban Districts

	Assessment Test	Per Cent Scoring At or Above Proficiency Level – Spring 2009					
		Mathematics			Reading		
		Grade 4	Grade 8	High School	Grade 4	Grade 8	High School
Anchorage	Standards Based Assessment	75	66	71	79	82	85
Atlanta	Georgia Criterion- Referenced Competency Tests	71	77	87	86	92	86
Birmingham	Alabama Reading and Mathematics Test	65	63	n/a	72	62	n/a
Cincinnati	Ohio Proficiency Test	57	52	85	62	57	92

Jackson	Mississippi Curriculum Test	57	49	n/a	51	37	n/a
Philadelphia	Pennsylvania System of School Assessments	61	51	32	47	62	38
Pittsburg	Pennsylvania System of School Assessments	70	58	43	56	70	50
San Francisco	California Standardized Testing and Reporting	68	n/a	n/a	66	55	48

# Part 2: Governance Structure of Successful Urban School Districts

Of the eight (8) successful urban school districts, the governance structure ranges from elected boards to appointed boards. However, whether elected or appointed, the governance boards are all local boards. None of the successful urban school districts is governed by a state board. Appendix B

**Table 2: Governance Structure of Successful Urban School Districts** 

District	Name of Governing	Number	Elected,	Notes	
	Board	of	Appointed or		
		Members	Combination		
Anchorage	Anchorage School	7	Elected	All members elected at	
	Board			large.	
Atlanta	Atlanta Board of	9	Elected	Six members elected by	
	Education			district, 3 members elected	
				at large	
Birmingham	Birmingham Board	9	Elected	All members elected by	
	of Education			district	
Cincinnati	Cincinnati Board of	7	Elected	All members elected at	
	Education			large	
Jackson	Jackson Board of	5	Appointed	All members appointed by	
	Trustees			the City Council	
Philadelphia	School Reform	5	Appointed	Governor appoints three	
	Commission			members; mayor appoints	
				two members	
Pittsburg	Pittsburg Board of	9	Elected	All members elected by	
	Education			district	
San Francisco	San Francisco Board	7	Elected	Seven members elected by	
	of Education			voters.	

# **Part III: New Orleans District Performance Scores**

In 2004-05, the New Orleans school district had one district performance score because all schools were under the jurisdiction of the Orleans Parish School Board (OPSB). In 2004-05, there were 127 schools with a total of 64,920 students. The OPSB District Performance Score then was 56.9<sup>iv</sup>

In 2008-09, the New Orleans school district had two district performance scores: one score for the schools under the jurisdiction of the Orleans Parish School Board, and one score for the schools under the jurisdiction of the Recovery School District.

In 2008-09, the district performance score for the Orleans Parish School Board was 104.3. The district performance score for the Recovery School District was 51.4. It is statistically incorrect to average these scores since there were 17 schools under the OPSB and 61 schools under the RSD.

# Part IV: Implications for Governance of the New Orleans Public Schools

All of the successful urban school districts, identified by the Council of Great City Schools, have local governance boards, with either elected or appointed members. New Orleans has a governance structure that is bifurcated, with the majority of schools governed by the state through the Recovery School District and with a few schools governed by the elected Orleans Parish School Board.

The Recovery School district retains jurisdiction of schools transferred to it for a period of not less than five years. (LaR.S.17:10.5) No later than nine months prior to the expiration of the five-year period, the Recovery School District is required to make a recommendation to the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education<sup>vi</sup>, as to whether the schools under its jurisdiction shall be:

- a) Continued in the RSD pursuant to its reported operational status,
- b) Continued in the RSD with a change in its operational status,
- c) Closed and the reasons provided, or
- d) Returned to the administration and management of the transferring system with <u>proposed stipulations and conditions</u> for the return.

The RSD's report must also include whether there has been improvement in student academic performance. Recent reports have shown that school and student performance have not

improved under the jurisdiction of the RSD. Since 2007, the RSD discontinued reporting student performance to the Council of Great City Schools. VII

Since school and student performance have not improved under the RSD, and since successful urban school districts are governed locally by elected or appointed boards, it is imperative that the schools be returned to the Orleans Parish School Board, "with proposed stipulations and conditions for the return."

Both the RSD's jurisdiction of the schools and the previous OPSB's jurisdiction of the schools have been dismal. Local control is favored over state control, but local control must have stipulations that are mandatory.

The RSD did advance site-based governance through increasing the number of charter schools. Charter schools must be allowed to continue, flourish and expand. Another form of site-based governance, Community schools using the NCLB Title I Schoolwide Project model, must also be implemented. Both models place all funds directly at the site-level for administration. For charter schools, a nonprofit serves as the charter operator, which can contract with a for-profit for educational services. For Community Schools, the Schoolwide Project model allows schools with 40% of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, to be governed by the school council, comprised of teachers, parents and community members.

Further research of successful urban school districts would probably provide additional recommendations for stipulations if New Orleans schools are returned to the local governance structure. However, the most important stipulation must be for the Orleans Parish School Board to grant site-based status to schools, whether Charter Schools or Community Schools.

# **Appendices**

#### Appendix A: Council of Great City Schools: Member Districts

The following are the member districts of the Council of the Great City Schools.

- Albuquerque Public Schools
- Anchorage School District
   Atlanta Public Schools
- Austin Independent School District
   Baltimore City Public Schools
- Birmingham City Schools
- Boston Public Schools
- **Broward County Public Schools**
- Buffalo City School District
- 10. Caddo Parish School District 11. Charleston County School District
- 12. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools
- 13. Chicago Public Schools
- 14. Christina School District

Cincinnati Public Schools 16. Clark County School District 17. Cleveland Metropolitan School District 18. Columbus City Schools 19. Dallas Independent School District 20. Dayton Public Schools **Denver Public Schools** Des Moines Independent Community School District 23. Detroit Public Schools District of Columbia Public Schools 25. Duval County Public Schools East Baton Rouge 27. Fort Worth Independent School District Fresno Unified School District 29. Guilford County Schools 30. Hillsborough County School District 31. Houston Independent School District Indianapolis Public Schools 33. Jackson Public School District 34. Jefferson County Public Schools Kansas City School District 36. Little Rock School District37. Long Beach Unified School District Little Rock School District Los Angeles Unified School District Memphis City Public Schools 40. Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools 41. Miami-Dade County Public Schools Milwaukee Public Schools 43. Minneapolis Public Schools New Orleans Public Schools New York City Department of Education 46. Newark Public Schools Norfolk Public Schools 48. Oakland Unified School District 49. Oklahoma City Public Schools 50. Omaha Public Schools Orange County Public Schools Palm Beach County Public Schools The School District of Philadelphia 53. Pittsburgh Public Schools Portland Public Schools 56. Providence Public Schools 57. Richmond Public Schools 58. Rochester City School District 59. Salt Lake City School District 60. San Diego Unified School District 61. San Francisco Unified School District 62. Seattle Public Schools 63. St. Louis Public Schools 64. St. Paul Public Schools Toledo Public Schools 66. Wichita Public Schools



1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 702 - Washington, D.C. 20004, (202) 393-2427, (202) 393-2400 (fax)

# Appendix B: Governance Structure of Successful Urban School Districts

#### Anchorage, Alaska

The Anchorage School Board consists of seven (7) members, elected at-large.

#### Atlanta, Georgia

The Atlanta Board of Education consists of nine elected members, representing six geographical districts and three at-large districts.

Birmingham, Alabama

The Birmingham Board of Education consists of nine elected members, representing nine voting districts.

## Cincinnati, Ohio

The Cincinnati Board of Education is comprised of seven people elected at-large.

## Jackson, Mississippi

The Jackson Public School District's Board of Trustees consists of five (5) members appointed by the City Council.

#### Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

The School District of Pennsylvania is governed by a five member School Reform Commission. The Governor appoints three members and the Mayor appoints two members.

# Pittsburg, Pennsylvania

The Pittsburgh Board of Public Education is an elected body made up of nine district representatives.

## San Francisco, California

The San Francisco Unified School District is under the control of a Board of Education composed of seven members who are elected by the voters of the Unified School District.

# **Endnotes**

<sup>i</sup> Council of Great City Schools, 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 702, Washington, DC, www.CounciloftheGreatCitySchools.org

ii Council of Great City Schools: "Good News About Urban Schools", October 2007

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iii</sup> Council of Great City Schools: "Beating the Odds-An Analysis of Student Performance on State Assessments and the NAEP," March 2010.

La. State Department of Education, District Accountability Results; see 2006-07 District Composite report which provides performance scores for the years 2001-2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> La. Department of Education, District Performance Scores, 2009 rankings.

vi La.R.S. 17:10.5

vii Council of Great City Schools: "Beating the Odds – Individual District Profiles", March 2010, New Orleans district, pp. 389-396.